

Applicant : Don Fishbein
Serial No.: 10/799,197
Filed: March 12, 2004
Page 2

REMARKS

Claims 30-45 are pending in the subject application.

Obviousness Type Double Patenting Rejection

On page 3 of the March 13, 2006 Final Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 30-45 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 15-28 of U.S. Published Application No. US 2004/0235940.

Applicant respectfully points out that U.S. Published Application No. US 2004/0235940 is the publication of the subject application. Applicant understands the above rejection to refer to U.S. Published Application No. US 2004/0171673, the publication of co-pending application U.S. Serial No. 10/799,197, filed March 12, 2004.

Furthermore, on page 4 of the March 13, 2006 Final Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 30-45 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 12-20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,576,659.

Even further, on page 4 of the March 13, 2006 Final Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 30-45 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 18-31 of U.S. Patent No. 6,828,313.

Applicant : Don Fishbein
Serial No.: 10/799,197
Filed: March 12, 2004
Page 3

In response, applicant, without conceding the correctness of the Examiner's rejections, attaches a copy of a Terminal Disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR §1.321(c) as **Exhibit A**. Applicant also attaches the required fee of ONE HUNDRED THIRTY DOLLARS (\$130.00) set forth in 37 CFR §1.20(d). The attached Terminal Disclaimer overcomes the obviousness-type double patenting rejections of claims 30-45 of the subject application over claims 15-28 of U.S. Published Application No. US 2004/0171673; claims 12-20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,576,659; and claims 18-31 of U.S. Patent No. 6,828,313. Accordingly, the obviousness-type double patenting rejections of claims 30-45 should be withdrawn.

Conclusion

In view of applicant's remarks herein, applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw all of the rejections set forth in the March 13, 2006 Final Office Action.

If a telephone interview would be of assistance in advancing prosecution of the subject application, applicant's undersigned attorneys invite the Examiner to telephone them at the number provided below.

No fee, other than the enclosed fee of \$130.00 for filing a Terminal Disclaimer, is deemed necessary in connection with the filing of this Communication. However, if any fee is required, authorization is hereby given to charge the amount of any such

Applicant : Don Fishbein
Serial No.: 10/799,197
Filed: March 12, 2004
Page 4

fee to Deposit Account No. 03-3125.


Respectfully submitted,



John P. White
Registration No. 28,678
Gary J. Gershik
Registration No. 39,992
Attorneys for Applicant
Cooper & Dunham LLP
1185 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10036
(212) 278-0400

I hereby certify that this
correspondence is being deposited
this date with the U.S. Postal
Service with sufficient postage as
first class mail in an envelope
addressed to:

Mail Stop AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

 5/16/06
John P. White Date
Reg. No. 28,678
Gary J. Gershik
Reg. No. 39,992